# JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist

### JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Studies Reporting Prevalence Data

Re	viewer Date							
Αι	nthor Year	R	Record Number					
		Yes No	Unclea	r Not ap	plicable			
1.	Was the sample frame appropriate to address the							
	target population?							
2.	Were study participants sampled in an appropriate way	?						
3.	Was the sample size adequate?							
4.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in							
5.	detail?  Was the data analysis conducted with sufficient covera	ge 🗌						
	of the identified sample?							
6.	Were valid methods used for the identification of the							
	condition?							
7.	Was the condition measured in a standard, reliable way	<i>y</i>						
				1	Page			

	for all participants?							
8.	Was there appropriate statistical analysis?							
9.	Was the response rate adequate, and if not, was the low							
response rate managed appropriately?								
Overall appraisal: Include $\Box$ Exclude $\Box$ Seek further info $\Box$								
Co	Comments (Including reason for exclusion)							

## JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies

Reviev	ver Date						
Author	Year		Record Number				
		Yes	No	Unclear	Not app	olicable	
1.	Were the criteria for inclusion in the sample clearly	ly					
	defined?						
2.	Were the study subjects and the setting described in	in					
	detail?						
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable	<del>)</del>					
	way?						
4.	Were objective, standard criteria used for						
	measurement of the condition?						
5.	Were confounding factors identified?						
6.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors						
	stated?						
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliab	le					
	way?						
					3	Page	

8. Was appropriate s	tatistical analysis	used?					
Overall appraisal: l	Include 🗆	Exclude		Seel	x furthe	er info	
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)							

## JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Case Control Studies

Revie	wer Date			_				
Autho	r Year		Record Number					
		Yes	No Unc	lear No	t applicable			
1.	Were the groups comparable other than the							
	presence of disease in cases or the absence of							
	disease in controls?							
2.	Were cases and controls matched							
	appropriately?							
3.	Were the same criteria used for identification of							
	cases and controls?							
4.	Was exposure measured in a standard, valid and							
	reliable way?							
5.	Was exposure measured in the same way for							
	cases and controls?							
					<b>5  </b> Page			

d sample?						
ounding factors identified	1?					
egies to deal with confou	nding factors					
omes assessed in a standa	ard, valid and					
y for cases and controls?						
posure period of interest	long enough					
ingful?						
opriate statistical analysis	used?					
Overall appraisal: Include $\square$ Exclude $\square$ Seek further info $\square$						
luding reason for excl	lusion)					
					5   Page	
	ounding factors identified agies to deal with confourable assessed in a standary for cases and controls? posure period of interestingful? priate statistical analysis sal: Include	ounding factors identified?  Igies to deal with confounding factors  Igies assessed in a standard, valid and  Igy for cases and controls?  Igy posure period of interest long enough  Ingful?  Igy priate statistical analysis used?	gies to deal with confounding factors  omes assessed in a standard, valid and y for cases and controls?  posure period of interest long enough ingful?  priate statistical analysis used?	pounding factors identified?  Ingies to deal with confounding factors  Ingies to deal with confounding factors  Ingies assessed in a standard, valid and Ingies	gies to deal with confounding factors	

## JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Cohort Studies

Re	viewer Date					
Αι	thor Year	R	Record Number			
	Y	es No	Unclear	Not app	licable	
1.	Were the two groups similar and recruited from the					
	same population?					
2.	Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people					
	to both exposed and unexposed groups?					
3.	Was the exposure measured in a valid and reliable way?					
4.	Were confounding factors identified?					
5.	Were strategies to deal with confounding factors					
	stated?					
6.	Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at					
	the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)?					
7.	Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable					
	way?					
				7 l	Page	

3. Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be				
long enough for outcomes to occur?				
9. Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to				
loss to follow up described and explored?				
10. Were strategies to address incomplete follow up				
utilized?				
11. Was appropriate statistical analysis used?				
Overall appraisal: Include $\Box$ Exclude $\Box$	See	k furthe	er info	
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)				

**8** | Page